Number	Name	Address	Email	Agree-	Agree Wolfe	Alt A or B / No Build?	Why did you chose this Alternative?	Additional Info?	Comments
1	Doris & Richard Ranaglia	36294 Wildwood Drive, Piney Glades, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	Email	ividitetty	Agree wone	No Build:	Why did you chose this Alternative.		1. We strongly urge the widening of Munch Branch Road between Route 1 and Carl's corner and/or a dedicated bike/walking path. 2. We drive it consistently and have walked it as well - we are aware of the dangerous safety issues. 3. This situation is compounded during the summer months when many young people come here to work and live. 4. We look for a speedy and safe resolve to this dangerous situation.
2	John J. Keefe	36380 Larch Lane, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971-8657							Telephone: 302-226-5505 1. Letter to Jennifer Cohan - I as a homeowner, totally support the proposed bike path and sidewalk on the East side of Munch Branch Road. 2. It should create a much safer condition for people walking and promote a secure environment for riding a bike. 3. It would also reduce the need to always use a car to go to Walgreens or adjacent stores. 4. We need this ASAP. 5. Please see my attached letter to Mr. Pete Schwarzkopf (see file)
3	Walter Brittingham	123 Henlopen Avenue, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971							Maintenance of easement should responsibly be done by DelDOT
4	Sean Wiswesser	130 Sea Bright Way, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	wiswessersm@yahoo.com						1. Email to DelDOT Public Relations - I am very glad the State and community is looking to provide better protection for bicycling and pedestrians on this road and Wolfe Neck Road. 2. Given the bike trail at Wolfe Neck and access point there, I am one of hundreds of people during the week using our street, Munchy Branch Road, and Wolfe Neck Road to get to the access point. 3. There is no protection right now. Many students who live and work in the area also use Munchy Branch Road to get to Rte 1 and unfortunately, many of them do not always wear helmets (they are foreign students many of them in the summer). 5. With the current situation a tragedy and disaster is only a matter of time. I hope that the State can address this problem and put some protections in place, bike lanes and better signs warning driversit is a real priority and problem.
5	Patrick McKenzie (Retired)	18445 Munchy Branch Road, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19974	pjcmckenzie@gmail.com	Yes	Yes	А	It requires the least amount of real estate.	Diagrams with dimensions for each option.	1. (Agree Munchy) Currently it is very dangerous to walk or bike on Munchy Branch and Wolfe Neck. 2. (Agree Wolfe) Agree with Wolfe Neck for safety reasons. 3. I would like to know how this project will be funded - will there be any out of pocket expenses for the homeowner?
6	Leonard C. Tylecki	18961 Munchy Branch Road, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	lct861@gmail.com	Yes	Yes	А	I lose less land - hurts resale value with path across the front yard.	Detail drawings for what is going to happen in front of 18961 Munchy Branch Road.	1.(Agree Munchy) Too many peds and bike traffic - road is too narrow for bike traffic that comes off the trail. 2. (Agree Wolfe) Same as above
7	Diane Tylecki	18961 Munchy Branch Road, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971		Yes	Yes	А	Property owners will lose less land; less impact on resale value	No	1.(Agree Munchy) Large volume of ped traffic with no shoulder - there is a high volume of car/truck traffic. 2.(Agree Wolfe) Same as above. 3. Lighting of Pathway?
8	Tom Dwyre	36170 Wood Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	tomdwyre@gmail.com	Yes	No	А	N/A	N/A	1.(Agree Munchy) Most unsafe road for both walkers and bikers - someone will get killed if something isn't done. 2.(Disagree Wolfe) Most bike traffic goes on Wolfe Neck out to the Breakwater Trail. 3. Do it ASAP!
9	Pauline L. Silar / Daughn L. Silar	18854 Munchy Branch Road	atthebeach7@verizon.net	Yes	Yes	В	Safer alternative for peds and bicyclists	No	1.(Agree Munchy) Something has to be donel 2.(Agree Wolfe) - N/A
10	Frank Delia (Piney Glade Homeowners Assoc.)	18594 Fir Drive Ext., Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	haydel2@yahoo.com	Yes	Yes	А	Less impact on homeowners on Munchy Branch	N/A	(Agree Munchy) This road is most dangerous to all who travel on it. 2.(Agree Wolfe) - N/A
11	John Woody	36168 Field Lane, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	5	Yes	Yes	А	No Grass	(Wrote address in this block)	Telephone: 302-898-2155 - 1.(Agree Munchy) N/A 2.(Agree Wolfe) - N/A 3. Live on the corner and it is a safety issue - I have been hit several times - due to my trees my house was not physically hit. 4. Intersection needs improvement - lights need to be installed.
12	Matt Clark	115 Shady Ridge Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	mclark1234@gmail.com	Yes	Yes	А	Much less impactful to the residents along the roadway.	Shady Ridge as you go around the	1.(Agree Munchy) Agree wholeheartedly that something needs to be done before to someone is killed walking or biking on Munchy Branch Road - I bike on it to get to the bike trail and it's scary!! - Lots of foreign students walk and bike on it in the summers. 2.(Agree Wolfe) - As an extension of the bike path, I think that improvements need to be made there also. 3. Please move forward with "something" before someone gets killed or injured on Munchy Branch!

Number	Name	Address	Email	Agree- Munchy	Agree Wolfe	Alt A or B / No Build?		Additional Info?	Comments
13	Royal Cass Ripley	18545 Munchy Branch Road, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	? (can't read)	Yes	Yes	А	Less impact on my property	No	1.(Agree Munchy) People in cars tend to drive too fast up and down Munchy Branch Road making it too dangerous for people walking or riding bikes. 2.(Agree Wolfe) - Agree for the same reason in answer 1. 3. The drainage from Aspen Meadows goes under the street in front of my house - Heavy rain brings a lot of water heading my way (the reason for retaining wall). 4. Can that pipe get moved?
14	Lana Warfield (resident and board member of Breakwater Estates)	24 Rusty Anchor Drive, Breakwater Estates, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	lcwarfield@hotmail.com	Yes	Yes	А	The grass between will be just another maintenance area plus it will not deter cars or bikes from driving over it.	N/A	1.(Agree Munchy) N/A 2.(Agree Wolfe) - N/A
15	Jill Tjossem	17 Lighthouse Drive, Breakwater Estates, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	itjethos@gmail.com	Yes	No Opinion	В	I prefer the buffer as this would be safer, but I also respect the problem this option causes for the homeowners on Munchy Branch.	N/A	1.(Agree Munchy) High level of ped and bike traffic along with obstructed view at slope adjacent to curve and difficult terrain - would be much safer w/developed path. 2.(No Opinion- Wolfe) - I rarely travel on this section. 3. The greatest need for improvement is around the curve and up the slope - this is the most dangerous and difficult section - I hope this section can be included with the initial phase.
16	Kim Bart & Larry Ellis	35513 Wolfe Neck Road, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	bartkimberly@yahoo.com	Yes	Yes	В	The plastic divider poles will be constantly hit and broken - will look unkept & nasty	No	1.(Agree Munchy) Very dangerous as it is now with the amount of foot & bike traffic I observed. 2.(Agree Wolfe) - Same as above. 3. The proposal; Phase 3 for Wolfe Neck Road SHOULD MOST definitely be placed in the ditch side of the road to serve two purposes: A) Remove the flooding of ditch w/trash floating on top. B) Obviously more room.
17	Ken Walz, President of Shady Ridge Homeowners Assoc.	130 Shady Ridge Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	kandb130@gmail.com	Yes	N/A	В	Space between road and proposed path		1.(Agree Munchy) Yes, currently very dangerous for both peds and bicycles 2.(Wolfe) - N/A
18	Bob Dunbar	150 Beachfield Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	robertdunbar@comcast.net	Yes	Yes	В	I prefer B as it gives a buffer between vehicles and peds	Request made was answered	1.(Agree Munchy) Yes, to make it safer for peds, bikes and walkers 2.(Agree Wolfe) - Yes, easier access to existing trail.
19	Mike & Melody Forry	4 Breakwater Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	meff22@gmail.com	Yes	Yes	В	Walkers & bikes need protection from the vehicles	No	1.(Agree Munchy) Strongly agree - a lot of car, bike and foot traffic. 2.(Agree Wolfe) Same reason as #1
20	Mike Forry	4 Breakwater Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	meff22@gmail.com	Yes	Yes	В	Safer with green buffers	No	1.(Agree Munchy) Strongly Agree - Great Idea!! - Walkers and bikers need protection from traffic - w/high speeds (35 mph) caused by commercial "bookends" - Giant/Walgreens one end & Wawa on the other. 2.(Agree Wolfe) - See above
21	Mary Katherine D'Addario	134 Beachfield Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	mdaddario57@gmail.com	Yes	Yes	В	Looks nicer	No	1.(Agree Munchy) Riding on Munchy Branch is extremely dangerous. 2.(Agree Wolfe) - Definitely - Lots of drinking - tough to ride a bike up from the park.
22	Dennis Neason	36026 Delphany Street, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	dennis neason@yahoo.com	Yes	Yes	В	It looks nicer	No	1.(Agree Munchy) N/A 2.(Agree Wolfe) - N/A 3. I love it!! Please hurry!!!
23	Michael Pryslak, Sea Bright HOA President	124 Sea Bright Way, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	mpryslak@msn.com	Yes	Yes	В	Post will probably get run over by trucks coming through the area - B is more pleasing to the eye.	No	1.(Agree Munchy) Lots of foot/bike traffic - vehicle traffic has increased substantially it's a matter of time before we have an accident. 2.(Agree Wolfe) - Same as above. 3. Need to look at the traffic problems from RT 1 to Parsonage Road.
24	Kathy Casey	36806 Winner Circle, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	kathycasey12@comcast.net	Yes	Yes	В	Whichever one is safer - safety first for walking and bikes	Please continue path to connect with trail for safety for all.	1.(Agree Munchy) Totally agree 2.(Agree Wolfe) - Yes, please continue path to connect the junction Breakwater Trail biking trail.
25	Lisa Locke	18816 Sylvan Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	lisavlocke@gmail.com	Yes	N/A	В	Seems a lot more safe but not a strong preference		1.(Agree Munchy) Strongly agree - Serious safety issues, especially with all the bikes, but even for walkers 2.(No opinion- Wolfe) I do not have personal experience on foot or bike with this stretch. 3. Thank you for soliciting our input and exploring options on this important safety issue.
26 -	Henry Clark	120 Beachfield Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	1tonto@hotmail.com	Yes	Yes	В	Separation of foot/bike traffic from vehicle traffic	. No	1.(Agree Munchy) foot and bike traffic hazardous 2.(Agree Wolfe) - Same as above
27	Ted Fugee	36362 Hemlock Lane, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	tcfugee4@gmail.com	Yes	Yes	В	Greenery, dislike plastic poles	I NO	1.(Agree Munchy) Agree for safety reasons 2.(Agree Wolfe) - Same as above. 3. Fix lanes from Rt 1 to Parsonage Road - confusing, crooked lane configurations.
28	William Gore	6 Sea Bright Road, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	w.gore@juno.com	Yes	Yes	H	Do not like the plastic post - will be hit all the time. B looks much better!	Why not extend the bike path out to the park?	1.(Agree Munchy) The road is impossible to walk, ride or bike - very dangerous part of Munchy Branch Road 2.(Agree Wolfe) - People walk along the entire road-it is needed.

				Agree-	T	Alt A or B /			
Number	Name	Address	Email	Munchy	Agree Wolfe	No Build?	Why did you chose this Alternative?	Additional Info?	Comments
29	Pam McMillan	115 Shady Ridge Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	macpam@yahoo.com	Yes	Yes	В	More attractive - but, option A gives a bigger bike/walk area	I asked about the intersection on the curve by Karl's Corner / Shady Ridge / Piney Glades. It is dangerous and awkward. He indicated that it would be major capital improvement and not part of this project. To not fix that intersection - you are missing an important opportunity. The community residents have been complaining for years.	1.(Agree Munchy) current situation is dangerous for bike riders and peds. The international students walk along there late at night. It is a matter of time before some one is hurt or killed. 2.(Agree Wolfe) - with popularity of the beautiful bike trail it is needed. 3. See above - we do appreciate that you are working on this much
30	Michael Hayes (affiliated with homeowners association - same as Delia)	36335 Hemlock Lane, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	haydel2@yahoo.com	Yes	Yes	В	B Modified - the bike and ped lane can be narrowed to give wider traffic lane and take less property from property owners	N/A	1.(Agree Munchy) High ped & bike usage - poor visibility, narrow lanes 2.(Agree Wolfe) - Highly agree - again very narrow - high usage during evening hours.
31	Gabrielle Fisher, Beachfield HOA President	114 Beachfield Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	gabriele.fisher@comcast.net beachfieldhoa@yahoo.com	Yes	Yes	В	Gives walkers & bikers more protection from cars and road traffic		1.(Agree Munchy) Totally agree - we need these improvements, it would make it much safer along the road and make our area bike/walk friendly, hence attracting tourists, nature hikers etc big incentive to vacation in this area. 2.(Agree Wolfe) - Why not connect all the way to Wolfe's Neck Trail! Make this area safe and fun for residents as well as visitors! 3. I hope this entire project will go thrul! - It will be an improvement for us locals, but also an improvement for walkers/hikers/bikers rom all over the state & east coast. I'm all for promoting our area to all!
32	Ruth Ann Curley, Shady Ridge HOA	126 Shady Ridge Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	racurlev@cs.com	Yes	N/A	В	More distance between them and the cars	N/A	1.(Agree Munchy) Need - so dangerous when kids are walking 5 abreast in the middle of the road. 2.(No Opinion Wolfe) - Live in Shady Ridge so not as concered about this part.
33	John M. Zalewski, Beachfield HOA Treasurer	112 Chesapeake Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	john33z@msn.com	Yes	Yes	В	Grass buffer keeps biker/ped further away from traffic	There is a severe water runoff problem off of Munchy Branch. It drans into the entrance of Beachfield and floods the 3 homes on Beachfield Road. Will this project connect there kind of problems?	1.(Agree Munchy) Very dangerous for biker/ped to use these two roads 2.(Agree Wolfe) - same as above 3. Can't happen soon enough - been waiting since Wolfe Neck Trail was complete.
34	DiAnn Terrone	18487 Munchy Branch Road	diannterrone@gmail.com	Yes	N/A	No Build	Inconvenience to homeowners - perhaps a narrow path?	N/A	1.(Munchy) I feel the speed limit has to be reduced! - More police giving tickets for not yielding to ped, riders, and speeding. Improvements to side does not have to be as wide as shown in picture - not to impact homeowners 2.(Agree Wolfe) - ?
35	LeAnne Faulkner, Beachfield HOA	134 Beachfield Drive, Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971	leanne faulkner@hotmail.com	Yes	N/A	В	The safest for bikers, both are significant improvements. An A type path is used on the bridge to Lewes; its scary to use. Prefer B, but would live with A	No	1.(Agree Munchy) I've biked Munchy Branch to Wolfe Neck access to the trail - dangerous. Many in our development and across Rt 1 use it Very important improvement 2.(Agree Wolfe) - Improvement is good, but the traffic and safety issue on RT 1 to Munchy Branch to Wolfe Neck is the most significant. My evaluation is the traffic is much heavier and speeds more on the Munchy Branch section due to the light at SR1 & Munchy. There is also more bike traffic there.

				Agree-		Alt A or B			
Number	Name	Address	Email		Agree Wolfe		Why did you chose this Alternative?	Additional Info?	Comments
36	Richard Babiarz	13210 Topsfield Court, Herndon, VA 20171 (local address not provided)	webbuzzard@gmail.com	Yes	Yes	Neither	Neither proposal satisfies all users. When I asked one of the presenters at the meeting whether they were addressing the speed issue, he told me that that was not part of the study. In my humble opinion, the only effective solution solves both problems, not just one. As I learned in my transportation engineering classes, a wider road only encourages speeding. Adding bike lanes gives the impression of a wider road, unless speed controls are in place. As longtime property owners on Munchy Branch, we gave up 15 feet many years ago as a prerequisite to getting the road paved. Under these proposals, we need to give up another 25' to get these bike lanes added. This pushes the state control of the land very close to my house. Your representative said we would be compensated, but would it include the drop in value of my house due to the incursion or just the value of the land? I would guess it's the latter. So for me, this is a losing proposition. Therefore, I am strong against either of the proposals you put forth.	I called the planning department separately and received the information I requested.	1.(Agree Munchy) and 2.(Agree Wolfe) - Yes, improvements along both roads are sorely needed. I'm surprised there hasn't been a bad incident on the road already. However, the state needs to make two improvements concurrently. The first and most important is to get the speed reduced on the road. Remember the old slogan - "Speed kills". Sadly it is not uncommon for drivers to use excessive speeds along the road. While I don't have a radar gun, I'm guessing it is in excess of 60 mph. Even if there were bike lanes, pedestrians and cyclists would feel endangered when a vehicle speeding at 60+ mph comes within inches of them.
37	No Name Provided 1			Yes	No	В			
38	No Name Provided 2			Yes	Yes	А	Takes less of personal property	No	1.(Agree Munchy) I was at a scene of a girl a few years back - hit and run - airlifted out - don't know what happened. Foreign kids walking and biking in the middle of the road all the time 2.(Agree Wolfe) - Too many people in summer months walking and riding in the road.
39	No Name Provided 3			Yes	Yes	А			1.(Agree Munchy) Seen a few people get hit by cars on Munchy Branch Road 2.(Agree Wolfe) - No room to walk except road
40	No Name Provided 4			Yes	Yes	А	Less impact on property owners, but either is fine		1.(Agree Munchy) N/A 2.(Agree Wolfe) - N/A 3. This will take years to get done - need something now. Bikers ride 2 and 3 abreast and will not move to let cars by -same with peds. When you blow your horn, all they do is look at you, but do not move.